Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/089,859	KHODOV, NICKOLAY VLADIMIROVICH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce F. Bell	1746
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Bruce F. Bell</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. J. Herbert O'toole.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 August 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>I he examiner will provide a warm</li> <li>I rate record of the substance of the</li> </ul>	ritten summary of the substance
BRUCE F. BELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1766		
Brue Bell		
	licant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that there are two claims in the application both of which were found on the same page no. but on separate pages. Neither claim was numbered and the paperwork said there was only one claim in the application. A call was made to determine if there was only one claim in the application. Mr. O'toole indicated that only one claim should be in the application and that the claim with only 3 lines directed to the titanium base and coated with manganese dioxide with a thickness of 3mm and porosity of 5 to 45% was the claim that they wanted examined.